
Learning assessment instruments in educational contexts to strengthen comprehensive contemporary formative processes

Instrumentos de evaluación del aprendizaje en contextos educativos para fortalecer procesos formativos integrales contemporáneos

Instrumentos de avaliação da aprendizagem em contextos educacionais para fortalecer processos formativos integrais contemporâneos

Dimitra Gioka

✉: dgioka@sch.gr

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-9203-3519>

Ministry of Education and Religious Affairs: Athens, Attica, Greece

Cita sugerida (APA, séptima edición)

Gioka, D. (2026). Learning assessment instruments in educational contexts to strengthen comprehensive contemporary formative processes. *EDUNEXA, Revista de Investigación en Educación*. 3(1), 5-16. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51247/e.v3i1.888>.

Abstract

The objective of this research was to analyze the main learning assessment instruments used in contemporary educational contexts, considering their characteristics, quality criteria, and pedagogical contributions within formative processes. The methodology was developed under a qualitative descriptive-analytical approach through a documentary review of scientific literature related to educational assessment, assessment instruments, and competency-based education. For this purpose, scientific articles, academic books, and specialized studies published in recognized academic databases were reviewed. The results showed that instruments such as written tests, rubrics, checklists, portfolios, and projects favored the collection of meaningful evidence about student learning, allowing the assessment of knowledge, skills, and competencies in an integral manner. Likewise, validity, reliability, objectivity, and relevance were identified as essential criteria to ensure transparent and effective assessment processes. It was concluded that assessment instruments represent fundamental tools for strengthening feedback, academic monitoring, and pedagogical decision-making, promoting more inclusive, reflective, and meaningful learning-oriented educational practices at different contemporary educational levels.

Keywords: Learning assessment, instruments, rubrics, education.

Resumen

La presente investigación tuvo como objetivo analizar los principales instrumentos de evaluación del aprendizaje utilizados en contextos educativos contemporáneos, considerando sus características, criterios de calidad y aportes pedagógicos dentro de los procesos formativos. La metodología se desarrolló bajo un enfoque cualitativo de carácter descriptivo-analítico, mediante una revisión documental de literatura científica relacionada con evaluación educativa, instrumentos evaluativos y educación basada en competencias. Para ello, se revisaron artículos científicos, libros y estudios especializados publicados en bases académicas

reconocidas. Los resultados evidenciaron que instrumentos como pruebas escritas, rúbricas, listas de cotejo, portafolios y proyectos favorecen la recopilación de evidencias significativas sobre el aprendizaje estudiantil, permitiendo valorar conocimientos, habilidades y competencias de manera integral. Asimismo, se identificó que la validez, confiabilidad, objetividad y pertinencia constituyen criterios esenciales para garantizar procesos evaluativos transparentes y efectivos. Se concluyó que los instrumentos de evaluación representan herramientas fundamentales para fortalecer la retroalimentación, el seguimiento académico y la toma de decisiones pedagógicas, promoviendo prácticas educativas más inclusivas, reflexivas y orientadas al aprendizaje significativo en los distintos niveles educativos contemporáneos.

Palabras clave: Evaluación del aprendizaje, instrumentos, rúbricas, educación.

Resumo

A presente pesquisa teve como objetivo analisar os principais instrumentos de avaliação da aprendizagem utilizados em contextos educacionais contemporâneos, considerando suas características, critérios de qualidade e contribuições pedagógicas nos processos formativos. A metodologia foi desenvolvida sob uma abordagem qualitativa de caráter descritivo-analítico, mediante uma revisão documental da literatura científica relacionada à avaliação educacional, instrumentos avaliativos e educação baseada em competências. Para isso, foram revisados artigos científicos, livros acadêmicos e estudos especializados publicados em bases acadêmicas reconhecidas. Os resultados evidenciaram que instrumentos como provas escritas, rubricas, listas de verificação, portfólios e projetos favoreceram a coleta de evidências significativas sobre a aprendizagem dos estudantes, permitindo avaliar conhecimentos, habilidades e competências de maneira integral. Além disso, identificou-se que validade, confiabilidade, objetividade e pertinência constituem critérios essenciais para garantir processos avaliativos transparentes e eficazes. Concluiu-se que os instrumentos de avaliação representam ferramentas fundamentais para fortalecer a retroalimentação, o acompanhamento acadêmico e a tomada de decisões pedagógicas, promovendo práticas educacionais mais inclusivas, reflexivas e orientadas para a aprendizagem significativa nos diferentes níveis educacionais contemporâneos.

Palavras-chave: Avaliação da aprendizagem, instrumentos, rubricas, educação.

Introduction

Learning assessment constitutes one of the fundamental pillars of educational processes, as it enables the identification of students' levels of achievement and guides pedagogical decision-making. In this context, assessment instruments acquire particular relevance because they facilitate the systematic collection of evidence regarding the knowledge, skills, attitudes, and competencies developed throughout the learning process. According to Ahumada (2005), authentic assessment promotes the collection of meaningful and contextualized evidence, allowing learning to be understood from a comprehensive perspective. Likewise, Segura Castillo and Quirós Acuña (2019) argue that assessment instruments should promote inclusive processes that strengthen learning and encourage the active participation of students.

The transformation of educational settings in the twenty-first century has fostered new ways of understanding assessment, particularly in response to the advancement of digital technologies and active learning methodologies. In this regard, Adell Segura and Castañeda Quintero (2010) highlight that Personal Learning Environments have transformed teaching

and learning dynamics, creating the need to implement more flexible assessment instruments adapted to diverse contexts. Similarly, Escanero-Marcén et al. (2018) point out that different learning styles influence academic performance; therefore, the use of a variety of assessment instruments contributes to a more objective evaluation of students' abilities.

Assessment instruments are technical and methodological tools used to collect information about student performance. Among the most commonly used are rubrics, checklists, objective tests, rating scales, portfolios, and observation records. Each possesses specific characteristics that respond to particular educational objectives and assessment criteria. Ahumada (2005) affirms that the appropriate selection of instruments makes it possible to assess complex learning outcomes and promote reflective processes among students. Consequently, assessment is no longer focused solely on quantitative results but also on the comprehensive development of competencies and practical skills.

The relevance and validity of assessment instruments represent essential elements for ensuring reliable and coherent assessment processes. Muñoz López et al. (2024) emphasize that curriculum alignment constitutes an indispensable technical challenge for guaranteeing educational quality, as assessment instruments must respond directly to the learning objectives and competencies established within the curriculum. Within this framework, coherence among content, methodologies, and assessment promotes a more accurate measurement of student performance. Furthermore, the appropriate planning of assessment instruments contributes to reducing bias and strengthening transparency in educational processes.

Despite advances in assessment practices, various challenges persist regarding the implementation of appropriate instruments in heterogeneous educational contexts. Mena, Fernández, Yáñez, and Mullo (2023) argue that the learning difficulties present in the twenty-first century require new pedagogical strategies and more inclusive and innovative assessment instruments. Many educational systems continue to employ traditional models centered on memorization, limiting the assessment of critical, creative, and collaborative skills. This situation highlights the need to strengthen teacher training in educational assessment and promote more contextualized and participatory practices.

Moreover, competency-based assessment has generated significant changes in the conception of assessment instruments. Segura Castillo (2009) notes that this approach requires the evaluation not only of theoretical knowledge but also of observable performance and the ability to apply learning to real-life situations. Consequently, assessment instruments must be designed with clear criteria, precise indicators, and performance levels that make it possible to demonstrate the degree of competency development achieved by students. The use of analytical rubrics and portfolios, for example, facilitates continuous feedback processes and strengthens self-regulated learning.

In light of the foregoing, it is necessary to deepen the study of learning assessment instruments, considering their relevance in strengthening comprehensive and high-quality educational processes. This research is justified by the importance of analyzing the characteristics, functions, and application criteria of the main instruments used in education, as well as their contribution to improving student performance. Furthermore, the study seeks to promote critical reflection on the need to implement relevant, valid, and inclusive assessment strategies that respond to contemporary educational demands and foster meaningful learning in diverse educational contexts.

Methodology

This study was conducted using a qualitative approach with a descriptive-analytical scope, as it enabled the understanding and examination of the characteristics of learning assessment instruments across different educational contexts. According to Johnson-Mardones (2017), qualitative research promotes the in-depth analysis of educational phenomena from interpretive and reflective perspectives, making it possible to identify meanings and

relationships present in pedagogical practice. Likewise, Ramírez (2011) argues that this approach facilitates the contextualized understanding of educational processes by considering the complexity of contemporary educational dynamics. In this regard, the study focused on the critical analysis of the principal instruments used in educational assessment.

The methodological design was based on documentary review as the primary data collection technique. To this end, scientific articles, academic books, and specialized publications related to learning assessment, assessment instruments, and competency-based education were consulted. Serrano (2000) states that documentary review constitutes a valuable strategy for analyzing existing knowledge and constructing theoretical interpretations of educational phenomena. Consequently, various indexed and up-to-date bibliographic sources were collected, prioritizing studies related to rubrics, checklists, objective tests, portfolios, and rating scales applied in educational settings.

During the research process, the collected information was organized into thematic categories associated with the purpose, characteristics, and applicability of assessment instruments. Osses Bustingorry et al. (2006) indicate that qualitative research enables the generation of theoretical interpretations through systematic and reflective analytical processes. For this reason, the selected documents were analyzed considering aspects such as validity, relevance, reliability, and alignment with learning objectives. Additionally, similarities and differences among the various instruments used in contemporary educational assessment were identified.

The analysis procedure was carried out through a comparative classification of assessment instruments according to their pedagogical functions and methodological characteristics. During this process, the contributions of each instrument to the assessment of competencies, skills, and student performance were examined. Likewise, the advantages and limitations of their application in diverse educational contexts were analyzed. Finally, the findings obtained enabled a critical reflection on the importance of selecting relevant instruments that are consistent with current educational needs, thereby contributing to the strengthening of more comprehensive, inclusive, and meaningful assessment practices.

Development

Types of assessment instruments

Assessment instruments represent essential methodological resources for collecting evidence of student learning and evaluating the achievement of competencies across different educational levels. Their appropriate selection strengthens pedagogical processes and ensures assessments that are consistent with educational objectives. Currently, the diversity of educational contexts requires the use of varied instruments capable of addressing cognitive, procedural, and attitudinal needs. According to Marín and Rojas-Barahona (2008), educational assessment should be based on reliable and objective mechanisms that allow for a comprehensive interpretation of student performance. Therefore, the classification and understanding of different assessment instruments are fundamental for strengthening educational quality.

Written tests (Objective and Constructed-Response Tests)

Written tests constitute one of the most widely used assessment instruments in educational systems due to their ability to measure knowledge, comprehension, and cognitive skills. These tests may be classified as objective or constructed-response, depending on the structure of the questions and the type of response required. Guijarro Romero et al. (2024) emphasize that objective tests make it possible to assess specific content through structured questions and standardized criteria, promoting accuracy and efficiency in grading. Furthermore, this type of assessment facilitates the quantitative analysis of academic performance.

In contrast, constructed-response tests promote reflection, argumentation, and critical thinking by requiring students to provide elaborated and well-founded answers. Nigro (1995)

argues that this assessment model allows for the demonstration of learning-related abilities, as students must organize ideas, interpret information, and construct coherent responses. Consequently, constructed-response tests provide a broader perspective on students' cognitive and communicative competencies. However, they require clearly defined assessment criteria to ensure objectivity and reduce potential biases in evaluation.

The balanced use of objective and constructed-response tests contributes to strengthening assessment processes because both provide complementary advantages. While objective tests efficiently measure specific knowledge, constructed-response assessments encourage deeper analysis and critical expression. In this way, teachers can obtain more comprehensive evidence of student learning. Furthermore, integrating both types of instruments facilitates the assessment of complex competencies and promotes more comprehensive and contextualized evaluation processes within contemporary educational settings.

Rubrics and checklists

Rubrics and checklists are widely used instruments for assessing performance, skills, and competencies in different educational contexts. Checklists consist of structured records that verify the presence or absence of specific criteria or indicators during the completion of an activity. González Garibay and Sosa Ramírez (2020) state that this instrument facilitates the systematic observation of student performance and promotes organized and objective assessment processes. Likewise, checklists simplify the evaluation of practical activities and provide evidence of the completion of specific tasks.

In contrast, rubrics are more sophisticated tools that describe performance levels through previously established criteria. Muñoz Saavedra (2025) notes that rubrics strengthen transparency and clarity in the assessment process because students are informed in advance of the indicators used to evaluate their performance. Moreover, this instrument promotes self-regulation and reflective learning, encouraging more active student participation in the educational process. Rubrics also facilitate the provision of more precise feedback aimed at continuous improvement.

The combined use of rubrics and checklists contributes significantly to strengthening formative assessment. These instruments facilitate the evaluation of practical competencies, collaborative skills, and complex learning processes that would be difficult to measure solely through traditional tests. They also promote alignment among objectives, learning activities, and assessment criteria. Consequently, their proper implementation enables the development of more inclusive, transparent, and meaningful educational processes.

Portfolios and projects

Portfolios and projects are assessment instruments designed to evaluate long-term learning processes and demonstrate the progressive development of competencies. Santana (2002) argues that portfolio assessment allows for the collection of student-produced work, reflections, and evidence developed over a specific period, facilitating a comprehensive understanding of the educational process. This instrument promotes autonomy, self-assessment, and critical thinking, as students actively participate in the selection and analysis of their academic work.

Projects, in turn, constitute assessment strategies that integrate theoretical and practical knowledge to solve problems or develop context-based proposals. Güemes-Castorena and Uscanga Castillo (2015) emphasize that project assessment promotes the evaluation of research, creative, and collaborative skills, making it possible to assess complex competencies in authentic contexts. Furthermore, this type of assessment strengthens decision-making, innovation, and the practical application of knowledge acquired throughout the educational process.

The advancement of digital technologies has encouraged the use of e-portfolios as innovative tools for learning assessment. Villalustre Martínez and Moral Pérez (2010) argue that e-

portfolios facilitate the storage, organization, and dissemination of academic evidence through digital platforms, promoting more dynamic and interactive assessment processes. Additionally, they enable the integration of rubrics and continuous feedback mechanisms that strengthen self-regulated learning. Consequently, portfolios and projects represent valuable instruments for developing comprehensive competencies in contemporary educational contexts.

Quality criteria for assessment instruments

The quality of assessment instruments depends on various criteria that ensure the accuracy and relevance of the results obtained. Among the most important are validity, reliability, objectivity, clarity, relevance, and coherence. These elements ensure that instruments genuinely assess the intended learning outcomes and provide useful information for educational decision-making. According to Medina-Díaz and Verdejo-Carrión (2020), the implementation of active methodologies requires rigorous instruments capable of assessing competencies and performance comprehensively. Therefore, the design of assessment instruments must be grounded in solid technical and pedagogical principles.

Validity and reliability

Validity is one of the primary quality criteria of assessment instruments because it determines whether they actually measure what they are intended to assess. Donis (2012) states that a valid instrument must be aligned with educational objectives and the competencies to be evaluated. In this way, validity ensures that the results obtained accurately represent student performance and contribute to generating precise interpretations of learning outcomes.

Reliability, on the other hand, refers to the stability and consistency of the results obtained through an assessment instrument. Medina-Díaz and Verdejo-Carrión (2020) affirm that reliability ensures that results are not dependent on chance or external factors but rather on objective and systematic assessment criteria. Consequently, reliable instruments provide greater credibility and facilitate more transparent and equitable assessment processes within educational contexts.

The relationship between validity and reliability is essential for ensuring high-quality assessment processes. An instrument may be reliable but not necessarily valid if it does not assess the intended learning outcomes. Therefore, both criteria must be considered complementarily during instrument design and implementation. Furthermore, the use of clear indicators and standardized procedures contributes to strengthening the accuracy and rigor of educational assessments.

Objectivity and clarity

Objectivity represents a fundamental criterion for avoiding subjective interpretations when evaluating student performance. Marín and Rojas-Barahona (2008) argue that objective assessment requires explicit criteria and clear mechanisms that reduce the influence of personal judgments during grading. In this regard, the precise definition of indicators and performance levels promotes fairer and more consistent assessment processes.

Clarity is also an essential element of assessment instruments because students must clearly understand the instructions, criteria, and proposed activities. Schander and Massa (2019) affirm that the construction of clear criteria strengthens the reliability and objectivity of the assessment process, allowing teachers and students to share a common understanding of expected learning outcomes. Moreover, clarity enhances transparency and reduces potential misunderstandings during assessment activities.

The integration of objectivity and clarity promotes the development of more equitable educational environments oriented toward meaningful learning. Well-structured instruments facilitate more accurate feedback and strengthen students' confidence in assessment

processes. Likewise, these criteria contribute to improving educational quality and consolidating more inclusive and participatory pedagogical practices.

Relevance and coherence

The relevance of assessment instruments is associated with their ability to respond to the needs, characteristics, and objectives of the educational context. López Quiroz (2011) states that relevance implies establishing an appropriate relationship between the instruments used and the learning outcomes intended to be assessed. Consequently, assessment instruments must be adapted to students' characteristics and the competencies defined in academic programs.

Coherence, in turn, ensures alignment among objectives, content, methodologies, and assessment. Valderrama Charry and Dallos González (2019) argue that curriculum evaluation constitutes an essential process for maintaining the quality and relevance of educational programs. From this perspective, assessment instruments should be designed considering curriculum alignment and the pedagogical purposes established within the educational process.

Relevance and coherence strengthen the effectiveness of assessment processes and promote more meaningful learning. When assessment instruments adequately respond to educational needs, students perceive greater value and usefulness in assessment activities. Likewise, these criteria contribute to strengthening pedagogical practices aimed at the comprehensive development of competencies and skills relevant to academic and professional training.

Pedagogical use of assessment instruments

Assessment instruments not only serve a measurement function but also possess significant pedagogical value within the educational process. Their appropriate application helps guide learning, strengthen feedback processes, and support pedagogical decision-making. Currently, formative assessment has gained greater importance because of its capacity to promote continuous improvement and active student participation. Therefore, the pedagogical use of assessment instruments should focus on supporting and fostering comprehensive learning development.

Learning feedback

Feedback constitutes one of the principal pedagogical contributions of assessment instruments. García-Jiménez (2015) argues that assessment oriented toward self-regulation enables students to recognize strengths, difficulties, and opportunities for improvement within their learning process. Furthermore, the incorporation of educational technologies facilitates more immediate, dynamic, and personalized feedback mechanisms.

Canabal and Margalef (2017) highlight that effective feedback promotes meaningful learning because it guides students on how to improve their academic performance. In this sense, assessment instruments should be designed not only for grading purposes but also to encourage critical reflection and the construction of new learning experiences. Thus, assessment becomes a tool for pedagogical support and continuous development.

Monitoring student progress

Monitoring student progress makes it possible to track competency development and identify difficulties throughout the educational process. Saeteros and Zamora (2024) state that academic monitoring constitutes a fundamental strategy for maximizing student success and strengthening academic achievement. Consequently, assessment instruments provide relevant information for identifying progress, support needs, and levels of performance achieved by students.

Pérez and Samaniego (2014) argue that assessment and monitoring systems facilitate timely pedagogical decision-making and contribute to improving academic outcomes. Furthermore,

continuous monitoring of learning enables the implementation of intervention and support strategies adapted to students' needs. In this way, assessment acquires a preventive and formative character aimed at strengthening the educational process.

Educational decision-making

The information obtained through assessment instruments is fundamental for educational decision-making at different institutional and pedagogical levels. Gallardo Córdova et al. (2012) indicate that formative assessment makes it possible to plan pedagogical actions and establish mechanisms aimed at improving learning. Consequently, assessment results facilitate the identification of strengths and weaknesses within the teaching-learning process.

Likewise, Barzaga-Sablón et al. (2019) emphasize that information management in educational organizations strengthens decision-making and contributes to optimizing institutional processes. From this perspective, assessment instruments generate relevant evidence for designing pedagogical strategies, improving academic programs, and strengthening educational quality. Therefore, assessment should be understood as a comprehensive process oriented toward the continuous development of educational systems.

Discussion

The findings of this study demonstrated that learning assessment instruments play a fundamental role in consolidating comprehensive educational processes, particularly when they are selected and applied in alignment with educational objectives. Consistent with Ahumada (2005), authentic assessment enables the collection of meaningful evidence regarding student performance, fostering a broader understanding of learning. Likewise, the results analyzed revealed that the use of various instruments, such as rubrics, portfolios, and written tests, contributes to the assessment of not only theoretical knowledge but also practical, reflective, and attitudinal skills. This perspective strengthens the transition from traditional models toward competency-based approaches focused on the holistic development of learners.

The results also indicated that written tests continue to be widely used within educational systems due to their practicality and ease of implementation. Guijarro Romero et al. (2024) argue that objective tests promote structured and comparable assessment processes, allowing specific knowledge to be measured with greater precision. However, the analysis conducted revealed that the exclusive use of this type of instrument may limit the assessment of complex competencies related to critical thinking and problem-solving. In this regard, Nigro (1995) contends that constructed-response tests provide greater opportunities to evaluate higher-order cognitive abilities because they require processes of argumentation, interpretation, and the construction of elaborated responses.

Regarding rubrics and checklists, it was observed that these instruments strengthen the transparency and objectivity of educational assessment. González Garibay and Sosa Ramírez (2020) state that checklists make it possible to verify compliance with specific criteria in an organized and systematic manner, facilitating the observation of student performance. Likewise, Muñoz Saavedra (2025) highlights that rubrics promote clarity in assessment processes and encourage self-regulated learning. The findings obtained are consistent with these assertions, as these instruments enable students to understand expected performance levels and actively participate in improving their academic outcomes.

On the other hand, portfolios and projects were identified as particularly relevant instruments for assessing comprehensive competencies and long-term learning processes. Santana (2002) argues that portfolios facilitate the collection of meaningful evidence and promote critical reflection on learning. Similarly, Villalustre Martínez and Moral Pérez (2010) emphasize that e-portfolios enhance the interaction, organization, and management of evidence through digital tools, fostering more dynamic assessment processes. In agreement with these perspectives, the study demonstrated that projects and portfolios allow for the assessment of

research, creative, and collaborative abilities that are difficult to measure through traditional instruments focused solely on memorized content.

Another relevant aspect identified in the analysis concerns the importance of quality criteria in the design and implementation of assessment instruments. Medina-Díaz and Verdejo-Carrión (2020) maintain that validity and reliability are indispensable elements for ensuring rigorous and relevant assessment processes. Indeed, the results demonstrated that assessment instruments must respond coherently to the curricular objectives and competencies established within educational programs. Furthermore, Marín and Rojas-Barahona (2008) emphasize that objectivity and clarity promote more equitable and transparent assessment processes. From this perspective, the study revealed that the absence of clear criteria may generate subjective interpretations and affect the credibility of assessment outcomes.

Likewise, the findings demonstrated that the pedagogical use of assessment instruments extends beyond the mere measurement of academic performance. García-Jiménez (2015) argues that feedback constitutes an essential component for promoting self-regulation and continuous learning improvement. Similarly, Canabal and Margalef (2017) highlight that effective feedback guides students toward understanding their strengths and weaknesses. The study findings confirmed that assessment instruments can become valuable pedagogical tools when they facilitate guidance, reflection, and the construction of meaningful learning experiences.

Finally, the results made it possible to recognize that learning assessment constitutes a strategic element for educational decision-making and the strengthening of institutional quality. Gallardo Córdova et al. (2012) argue that formative assessment contributes to planning pedagogical actions aimed at continuous improvement. Likewise, Barzaga-Sablón et al. (2019) emphasize that effective information management strengthens decision-making processes within educational organizations. Consequently, this study reaffirms the need to implement relevant, valid, and inclusive assessment instruments that respond to contemporary educational demands and promote educational processes focused on the comprehensive development of students.

Conclusions

Learning assessment instruments constitute essential tools for comprehensively evaluating student performance and strengthening contemporary educational processes. The analysis conducted made it possible to identify that the diversity of assessment instruments, such as written tests, rubrics, checklists, portfolios, and projects, facilitates the collection of broader and more contextualized evidence of learning. Likewise, it was found that the appropriate selection of instruments contributes to the development of cognitive, procedural, and attitudinal competencies, promoting more inclusive, participatory, and meaningful learning-oriented educational processes.

Similarly, the study revealed that the quality of assessment instruments depends on fundamental criteria such as validity, reliability, objectivity, clarity, relevance, and curricular alignment. These elements ensure more transparent and accurate assessment processes, allowing the information obtained to adequately reflect the level of achievement attained by students. Consequently, the design and implementation of assessment instruments should respond to educational objectives and the needs of the learning context, thereby strengthening equity and effectiveness in assessment across different educational settings.

Furthermore, it is concluded that assessment instruments possess significant pedagogical value because they not only measure academic outcomes but also support feedback processes, learning monitoring, and educational decision-making. Formative assessment promotes self-regulated learning and contributes to the continuous improvement of student performance through guidance and critical reflection mechanisms. Therefore, it is necessary

to promote student-centered assessment practices grounded in comprehensive approaches that enhance educational quality.

Finally, this study reaffirms the need to innovate learning assessment processes by incorporating strategies and instruments aligned with the educational demands of the twenty-first century. The integration of digital tools, active learning methodologies, and competency-based assessments represents an opportunity to strengthen the relevance and effectiveness of educational processes. In this regard, assessment should be understood as a continuous, dynamic process aimed at the holistic development of students, fostering more meaningful and contextualized learning experiences.

Study Limitations

This research was conducted through a qualitative documentary review; therefore, its findings were based on the analysis and interpretation of previously published bibliographic sources. In this regard, one of the main limitations was the absence of fieldwork and the direct application of assessment instruments in specific educational contexts, which restricted the possibility of empirically validating the findings obtained. Additionally, some of the studies reviewed presented different methodological and contextual approaches, which may have influenced the comparison and generalization of certain results related to learning assessment instruments.

Future Studies

Future research is recommended to further explore the empirical analysis of assessment instruments implemented across different educational levels and modalities, considering variables such as academic performance, inclusion, digital competencies, and collaborative learning. Likewise, it would be pertinent to conduct comparative studies on the effectiveness of traditional and digital tools within formative assessment processes. Similarly, future lines of research could focus on the design of innovative assessment instruments based on active learning methodologies and emerging technologies, with the aim of strengthening the quality and relevance of contemporary educational assessment.

Acknowledgments

The author expresses sincere gratitude to the specialists and researcher-educators who contributed their academic insights, observations, and guidance during the development of this study. The valuable support of colleagues specializing in education and learning assessment is also acknowledged, as their reflections and experiences enriched the theoretical and methodological analysis of the research. Likewise, appreciation is extended to the academic and scientific institutions that promote research production and the enhancement of educational quality through training opportunities and scientific dissemination initiatives.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The author declares that there were no financial, academic, professional, or personal conflicts of interest that could have influenced the development, analysis, or publication of this research. Furthermore, it is affirmed that the study was conducted in accordance with principles of objectivity, academic ethics, and scientific rigor.

References

- Adell Segura, J., & Castañeda Quintero, L. (2010). Los Entornos Personales de Aprendizaje (PLEs): una nueva manera de entender el aprendizaje. *Roig Vila & Fiorucci M.*
- Ahumada, P. (2005). La evaluación auténtica: un sistema para la obtención de evidencias y vivencias de los aprendizajes. *Perspectiva educacional, formación de profesores*, (45), 11-24.

- Barzaga-Sablón, O. S., Velez Pincay, H. J. J., Nevárez-Barberán, J. V., & Arroyo Cobeña, M. V. (2019). Gestión de la información y toma de decisiones en organizaciones educativas. *Revista de ciencias sociales*, 25(2), 120-130.
- Canabal, C., & Margalef, L. (2017). La retroalimentación: la clave para una evaluación orientada al aprendizaje. *Profesorado, Revista de curriculum y formación del profesorado*, 21(2), 149-170.
- Donis, J. H. (2012). Evaluación de la validez y confiabilidad de una prueba diagnóstica. *Avances en biomedicina*, 1(2), 73-81.
- Escanero-Marcén, J. F., Soria, M. S., & Guerra-Sánchez, M. (2018). Estilos de aprendizaje y rendimiento académico: diferentes herramientas, diferentes resultados. *FEM: Revista de la Fundación Educación Médica*, 21(4), 173-180.
- Gallardo Córdova, K. E., Gil Rendón, M. E., Contreras Durán, B., García Hernández, E., Lázaro Hernández, R. A., & Ocaña Jiménez, L. (2012). Toma de decisiones para la evaluación formativa: el proceso de planeación y determinación de sus mecanismos. *Sinéctica*, (39), 01-19.
- García-Jiménez, E. (2015). La evaluación del aprendizaje: de la retroalimentación a la autorregulación. El papel de las tecnologías. *RELIEVE-Revista Electrónica de Investigación y Evaluación Educativa*, 21(2).
- González Garibay, V., & Sosa Ramírez, K. P. (2020). Lista de cotejo. *EVALUACIÓN del y para EL APRENDIZAJE: instrumentos y estrategias*, 89(6).
- Güemes-Castorena, D., & Uscanga Castillo, G. (2015). Selección del portafolio de proyectos tecnológicos en la etapa temprana de la innovación: Desarrollo de una herramienta de evaluación. *Nova scientia*, 7(13), 101-132.
- Guijarro Romero, S., Mayorga Vega, D., Casado Robles, C., & Viciania Ramírez, J. (2024). Desarrollo y validación de una prueba escrita objetiva de elección múltiple para evaluar el conocimiento del entorno para el acondicionamiento físico (CENAFI) en escolares. *Retos: nuevas tendencias en educación física, deporte y recreación*, (51), 426-441.
- Johnson-Mardones, D. F. (2017). Investigación cualitativa y educación: tensiones en su propuesta, desarrollo, escritura y publicación. *Enfermería: Cuidados Humanizados*, 6(SPE), 83-88.
- López Quiroz, M. I. (2011). Criterios de coherencia y pertinencia para la evaluación inicial de planes y programas de pregrado: una propuesta teórico-metodológica. *REXE: Revista de estudios y experiencias en educación*, 10(19), 49-72.
- Marín, C. F., & Rojas-Barahona, C. A. (2008). Evaluación al interior del aula: una mirada desde la validez, confiabilidad y objetividad. *Pensamiento educativo*, 43(2), 285-305.
- Medina-Díaz, M. D. R., & Verdejo-Carrión, A. L. (2020). Validez y confiabilidad en la evaluación del aprendizaje mediante las metodologías activas. *Alteridad. Revista de Educación*, 15(2), 270-284.
- Mena, M. E. G., Fernández, H. C. F., Yanez, L. A. G., & Mullo, I. M. A. (2023). Dificultades de Aprendizaje en el Siglo XXI: Nuevas Perspectivas y Estrategias de Enseñanza Innovadoras. *Polo del Conocimiento*, 8(12), 1509-1524.
- Muñoz López, T., Espericueta Medina, M. N., Sánchez Rivera, L., & Cepeda González, M. C. (2024). El alineamiento curricular como un reto de técnica para la calidad educativa. *Revista De Educación Superior Del Sur Global - RESUR*, (16), e2023n16a9. <https://doi.org/10.25087/resur16a9>

- Muñoz Saavedra, Y. (2025). Rubricas y listas de cotejo como herramientas de evaluacion en la asignatura practica fundamentos de enfermeria. <http://ddigital.umss.edu/handle/123456789/51272>
- Nigro, R. G. (1995). Un modelo de prueba escrita que revela capacidades relacionadas con el proceso de aprendizaje. *Enseñanza de las Ciencias: revista de investigación y experiencias didácticas*, 347-361.
- Osses Bustingorry, S., Sánchez Tapia, I., & Ibáñez Mansilla, F. M. (2006). Investigación cualitativa en educación: hacia la generación de teoría a través del proceso analítico. *Estudios pedagógicos (valdivia)*, 32(1), 119-133.
- Pérez, L., & Samaniego, N. (2014). Sistema de evaluación y seguimiento del rendimiento académico. *Prisma Tecnológico*, 5(1), 16-19.
- Ramírez, E. A. (2011). La investigación cualitativa en educación. Balance y retos en el contexto colombiano. *Revista interamericana de investigación, educación y pedagogía*, 4(1), 81-91.
- Saeteros, Z. D., & Zamora, M. R. (2024). Maximizando el éxito estudiantil: El proceso del seguimiento académico en la educación superior como estrategia de rendimiento, asistencia y apoyo. *Revista de Investigación Formativa: Innovación y Aplicaciones Técnico-Tecnológicas*, 6(2), 89-97.
- Santana, I. S. (2002). Evaluación por portafolios: un enfoque para la enseñanza. *Revista Latinoamericana de Estudios Educativos (México)*, 32(1), 105-128.
- Schander, C. E., & Massa, A. A. (2019). Criterios de evaluación: construyendo fiabilidad y objetividad. *Anuario Digital de Investigación Educativa*, (2).
- Segura Castillo, M. A. (2009). La evaluación de los aprendizajes basada en el desempeño por competencias. *Revista Electrónica" Actualidades Investigativas en Educación"*, 9(2), 1-25.
- Segura Castillo, M. A., & Quiros Acuña, M. (2019). Desde el Diseño Universal para el Aprendizaje: el estudiantado al aprender se evalúa y al evaluarle aprende. *Revista Educación*, 43(1), 734-754.
- Serrano, G. P. (Ed.). (2000). *Modelos de investigación cualitativa en educación social y animación sociocultural: aplicaciones prácticas* (Vol. 46). Narcea ediciones.
- Valderrama Charry, L. D., & Dallos González, Y. (2019). Evaluación curricular proceso para mantener la calidad y pertinencia de los programas de educación. *Cultura del Cuidado Enfermería*, 16(1), 40-50.
- Villalustre Martínez, L., & Moral Pérez, M. E. D. (2010). E-portafolios y rúbricas de evaluación en ruralnet. *Pixel-Bit: Revista de medios y educación*.